1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 2:10-CR-00003 JCM (PAL) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 7 8 Plaintiff, 9 10 CHRISTOPHER BIGGERS, 11 Defendant. 12 13 **ORDER** Presently before the court is the government's motion to reconsider the order denying 14 15 forfeiture (doc. #70). (Doc. #73). The defendant responded (doc. #75), and the government replied 16 (doc. #77). 17 "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly discovered 18 evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." School Dist. No. 1J v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th 19 20 Cir. 1993); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). 21 The government fails to present any new law, new facts, or new evidence indicating that any 22 of the circumstances enumerated by the Ninth Circuit are present here warranting reconsideration 23 or any other relief. 24 Accordingly, 25 26 27 28 James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

Document 81

Filed 09/15/10

Page 1 of 2

@ase 2:10-cr-00003-JCM-PAL

(ase 2:10-cr-00003-JCM-PAL Document 81 Filed 09/15/10 Page 2 of 2
1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the government's motion
2	to reconsider the order denying forfeiture (doc. #73) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.
3	DATED September 15, 2010.
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	CINITED STATES DISTRICT GODGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1718	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
l Igo	- 2 -

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge